

### **REMARKS**

The present Amendment amends claims 14 and 15 and leaves claims 13 and 25-34 unchanged. Therefore, the present application has pending claims 13-15 and 25-34.

Claim 14 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kisor (U.S. Patent No. 6,098,091) in view of Chou (U.S. Patent No. 5,902,352); and claim 15 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kisor in view Behm (U.S. Patent No. 5,414,845). These rejections are traversed for the following reasons. Applicants submit that the features of the present invention as now more clearly recited in claims 14 and 15 are not taught or suggested by Kisor, Chou or Behm whether taken individually or in combination with each other as suggested by the Examiner. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw these rejections.

Amendments were made to each of claims 14 and 15 so as to more clearly recite that the integrated managing screen display unit displays a state of management by the managing computer on a screen by displaying computer symbols which indicating one of the managed computers and a connection relationship among the managed computers by links among the symbols and that the integrated managing screen display unit displays process symbols each which indicates an extracted operating processing and link with directions among the processed symbols, indicates retrieved execution order of operating process and color of each process symbol and indicates execution conditions based on execution condition information.

The above described features of the present invention as now more clearly recited in the claims are not taught or suggested by any of the references of record whether taken individually or in combination with each other.

It is noted that the Examiner acknowledged in the October 4, 2005 Office Action that Applicants provided arguments that the the integrated managing screen display unit of the present invention displays process symbols each of which indicates an extracted operating process and link with direction among the processed symbols, indicates retrieved execution order of operating process and color of each process symbols, and indicates execution condition based on execution condition information in paragraph 10 thereof. However, the Examiner alleges that such features were not recited in the claims.

Thus, it would appear that the Examiner agrees with Applicants that the above described such features, although not recited in the claims, are not taught or suggested by any of the references of record whether taken individually or in combination with each other. Therefore, the claims were amended to more clearly recite such features.

Accordingly, since such features now more clearly recited in the claims are not taught or suggested by any of the references of record the features of the present invention as recited in the claims are not anticipated nor rendered obvious by any of the references of record particularly Kisor, Chou and Behm whether taken individually or in combination with each other as suggested by the Examiner.

Thus, Kisor, Chou and Behm whether taken individually or in combination with each other fail to teach or suggest an integrated managing screen display unit displaying a state of management by managing the computer on a screen by displaying computer symbols each indicating one of the managed computers and a connection relationship among the managed computers by links among the symbols as recited in the claims.

Further, Kisor, Chou and Behm whether taken individually or in combination with each other fail to teach or suggest that the integrated managing screen display unit displays process symbols each of which indicates an extracted operating process and link with directions among the processed symbols, indicates retrieved execution order of operating process and color of each process symbols and indicates execution condition based on execution condition as recited in the claims.

Since the above described features are deficient in each of Kisor, Chou and Behm, the combination of Kisor with one or more of Chou and Behm fails to teach or suggest the features of the present invention as now more clearly recited in the claims. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 USC §103(a) rejection of claims 14 and 15 as being unpatentable over Kisor taken in combination with one or more of Chou and Behm is respectfully requested.

The remaining references of record have been studied. Applicants submit that they do not supply any of the deficiencies noted above with respect to the references utilized in the rejection of claims 14 and 15.

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's indication in the Office Action that claims 13 and 25-34 are allowed.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, applicants submit that claims 13-15 and 25-34 are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, early allowance of claims 13-15 and 25-34 is respectfully requested.

To the extent necessary, the applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment of fees, to the deposit account of MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C., Deposit Account No. 50-1417 (500.36716CX1).

Respectfully submitted,

MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.



---

Carl I. Brundidge  
Registration No. 29,621

CIB/jdc  
(703) 684-1120